

[Audio TC meeting January 19th 2007](#)

Attendees:

- Xavier Moghrabi (XM - Inria)
- Jacques Cayuela (JC - Bull)
- Julie Marguerite (JM - Inria)
- Gael Blondelle (GB - EBM)
- Petr Tuma (PT - Charles University)
- Christophe Ney (CN)

Proposed Agenda

- the platform hosting
- code base structure (also project approbation)
- TC composition rules
- OW2 web infrastructure

Hosting

We are finalizing the hosting, JC will send to the MO an table after the meeting comparing the prices of the offers (quite technically similar). Code base

JC: Need a definition of what is middleware for OW2 ?

GB: Not so far from middleware

CN: Structure our code base to separate application from reusable middleware component.

GB: 3 levels:

- Obscure middleware component => components for middleware developer
- Fully feature middleware stack (portal...) => components for end user
- End User application using middleware => End user application

CN: Difficult to give an objective classification without setting our goals, it is not an scientific classification. Structure the code base according to the user needs.

CN: For instance classification of eXo Platform

GB: eXo Core: would belong to end user component, eXo ECM : End user application

PT: Classification can fall into more categories

JM: a Category for Development tools
structure the code base according to the maturity of components (using an incubator)

CN: We should have the bazaar (incubator) and a cathedral

JM: increase visibility of the mature projects

CN: having an incubator and attic may be a good choice => should structure according to qualification activities

ObjectWeb - College - v2 - TCMinutes070119

JM: 2 criteria: quality and type category

CN: the initiatives can structure the code base, don't want one unique quality process and value scale, value scale should be set up by the users

JM: Attic, Incubator, Mature ; type, domain

CN: Application domain ? Project should be transparent and have a well documentation

JM: Technical criteria

CN: All the copyright holders have to be members. If a company stopped its membership, its projects should go to the Attic.

GB: Same case when there is no more basic support...

CN: OW2 must propose an continuous build system (integration build ?), Mature projects must conform to integration build

XM: Mature project should also provide basic support => mailing list, bug tracker, ...

PT: Integration build can be a security issue as code are executed on the server

CN: incubator is a coarse grained approach

JM: all accepted projects should go to the incubator, we should define criteria to go to Mature and Attic.

CN: A mature project can go back to incubator even if there is a new leader team. A project in Attic can go back to Mature. TC composition rules

not addressed OW2 web infrastructure

not addressed

[Audio TC meeting January 19th 2007](#) (en)

Creator: xwiki:XWiki.moghrabi Date: 2007/01/19 08:47

Last Author: xwiki:XWiki.moghrabi Date: 2007/01/19 09:51

Copyright (c) 2005-2006, [ObjectWeb Consortium](#)