

[Audio TC meeting January 24th 2007](#)

Attendees:

- Jacques Cayuela (JC - Bull)
- Pierre-Yves Gibello (PYB - Experlog)
- Julie Marguerite (JM - Inria)
- Xavier Moghrabi (XM - Inria)

Proposed Agenda

- code base structure
- OW2 Website

Code base structure

Discussion following the document sent by JC.

Business Application Category

PYG: about the submission of Caz-in : the project is interesting but not middleware. How can we have this project in OW ? Should we create an initiative, a partner project, host it, ... ?

JM: OW2 is about middleware, should we accept that kind of project ? If we host a application should we consider a level ? What does OW2 want for a long-term vision ?

JC: Component based approach => should OW2 only consider Fractal ?

XM: Business applications must rely on OW2 projects.

JM: OW2 can keep a component vision with fine granularity in the middleware project and coarse granularity in the high level of middleware and application.

XM: what about a initiative incubator since Caz-in should be an initiative ?

PYG: project hosting is reserved to OW2 member.

Approbation Process

JC: We should have a vote system

XM: I won't have the time to do that as I am on the migration to OW2.

JM: should ask Engineering Webmaster.

TC Participation

The technology Council involves:

- *One representant of each strategic members*
- *All ObjectWeb development project leaders (or someone designate by the project leader).*
- *Physical persons, can be invited by a member because of their scientific and technical abilities (or a*

ObjectWeb - College - v2 - TCMinutes070124

user using the project).

- *Each year the Technology Council vote to exclude from the TC the inactive participants (3 consecutive meeting without excuse or good reason).*

All of us agrees.

JM: Should we decompose the TC in several groups according to Petr proposition ?

XM: perhaps we should create temporary group (as a project) focus on actions to do: collaboration tools, TC rules,...

JC: should we improve the willing of members to participate/discuss with the TC.

PYG: the architecture mailing should be used for that. We should do more discussion on it.

JM: Technical discussions would begin in the architecture mailing list.

Conclusion:

- having the most public discussion on architecture ML
- creating group/project according to the needs

OW2 Website

XM: OW2 would like to use a CMS.

JC: will ask Benjamin Mestrallet - and Engineering - to clarify if we could use it for OW2.

[Audio TC meeting January 24th 2007](#) (en)

Creator: xwiki:XWiki.moghrabi Date: 2007/01/24 09:52

Last Author: xwiki:XWiki.moghrabi Date: 2007/01/24 10:53

Copyright (c) 2005-2006, [ObjectWeb Consortium](#)